

Amalgamated Worksheet # 4

Various Artists

April 30, 2013

1 Peyam Tabrizian

Problem 1:

Suppose V is a vector space over \mathbb{C} and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is normal. Show that if every eigenvalue of T is real, then T is self-adjoint.

Solution: By the complex spectral theorem, there exists an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V consisting of eigenvectors of T .

Let v be an arbitrary vector in V .

By the above, we know that $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$ for scalars a_1, \dots, a_n , where $T(v_i) = \lambda_iv_i$ for *real* eigenvalues λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$)

In particular, since T^* is **normal**¹

But then:

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(v) &= T^*(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \\ &= a_1T^*(v_1) + \dots + a_nT^*(v_n) \\ &= a_1\overline{\lambda_1}v_1 + \dots + a_n\overline{\lambda_n}v_n \\ &= a_1\lambda_1v_1 + \dots + a_n\lambda_nv_n \quad \text{because each } \lambda_i \text{ is real} \\ &= a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) \\ &= T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \\ &= T(v) \end{aligned}$$

¹**WARNING:** It is not true **IN GENERAL**, that if $T(v_i) = \lambda_iv_i$ we have $T^*(v_i) = \overline{\lambda_i}v_i$, but it **IS** true for normal and self-adjoint operators!

So $T^*(v) = T(v)$ for all v , so $T = T^*$ □

Problem 2:

Suppose A is a symmetric matrix over \mathbb{R} . Show that if $|\lambda| = 1$ for every eigenvalue λ of A , then $A^2 = I$.

First of all, $A^* = A^T$ (because A has only real entries) = A (because A is symmetric), so $A^* = A$, so A is self-adjoint.

Hence, by the *real* spectral theorem (which holds for matrices as well), there exists an orthonormal basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) of \mathbb{R}^n consisting of eigenvectors of A . In particular, $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ for real eigenvalues λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$)

Now let x, y be arbitrary vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Then $x = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$ and $y = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n$ for scalars $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$.

Goal: We want to show $\langle A^2 x, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$

Now consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^2 x, y \rangle &= \langle A(Ax), y \rangle \\ &= \langle Ax, A^* y \rangle \\ &= \langle Ax, Ay \rangle \quad \text{because } A^* = A \\ &= \langle A(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n), A(b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle a_1 A(v_1) + \dots + a_n A(v_n), b_1 A(v_1) + \dots + b_n A(v_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n \lambda_n v_n, b_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n \lambda_n v_n \rangle \\ &= \langle a_1 \lambda_1 v_1, b_1 \lambda_1 v_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle a_1 \lambda_1 v_1, b_n \lambda_n v_n \rangle \\ &= \begin{matrix} \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle a_n \lambda_n v_n, b_1 \lambda_1 v_1 \rangle + & \dots & \langle a_n \lambda_n v_n, b_n \lambda_n v_n \rangle \end{matrix} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle a_i \lambda_i v_i, b_j \lambda_j v_j \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(In the middle step, we used distributivity/foil)

But because b_j and λ_j are real:

$$\langle a_i \lambda_i v_i, b_j \lambda_j v_j \rangle = a_i \lambda_i \overline{b_j \lambda_j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = a_i \lambda_i b_j \lambda_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$$

Now if $i \neq j$, $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ (by orthogonality), hence $\langle a_i \lambda_i v_i, b_j \lambda_j v_j \rangle = 0$

And if $i = j$, $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 1$ (by orthonormality), so:

$$\langle a_i \lambda_i v_i, b_j \lambda_j v_j \rangle = a_i \lambda_i b_i \lambda_i (1) = a_i b_i (\lambda_i)^2 = a_i b_i$$

(where we used $(\lambda_i)^2 = (|\lambda_i|)^2 = 1^2 = 1$, because λ_i is real)

Therefore, the above sum simplifies to:

$$\langle A^2 x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \langle a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n, b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_n v_n \rangle \\ &= \langle a_1 v_1, b_1 v_1 \rangle + \cdots + \langle a_1 v_1, b_n v_n \rangle \\ &= \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \langle a_i v_i, b_j v_j \rangle \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ &= \langle a_n v_n, b_1 v_1 \rangle + \cdots + \langle a_n v_n, b_n v_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle a_i v_i, b_j v_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i b_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \\ &= a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\langle A^2 x, y \rangle = a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n = \langle x, y \rangle$$

Hence $\langle A^2 x, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all x, y .

Hence $\langle A^2 x - x, y \rangle = 0$ for all y

Hence $A^2x - x = 0$ for all x , so $A^2x = x$ for all x

Hence $\boxed{A^2 = I}$

□

Problem 3:

(if time permits) If $U = \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$, what is its complexification $U_{\mathbb{C}}$? Suppose $S \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ is defined by $S(p) = p'$, what is its complexification $S_{\mathbb{C}}$?

$$U_{\mathbb{C}} = U \times U = (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})) \times (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$$

Where we identify (p, q) with $p + iq$.

Moreover, addition in $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by:

$$(p + iq) + (r + is) = (p + r) + i(q + s)$$

And scalar multiplication in $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by:

$$(a + ib)(p + iq) = (ap - bq) + i(aq + bp)$$

(where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$)

More precisely, a typical element of $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of the form:

$$a + bx + cx^2 + i(d + ex + fx^2) = (a + id) + (b + ie)x + (c + if)x^2 = c_1 + c_2x + c_3x^2$$

where $c_1 = a + id, c_2 = b + ie, c_3 = c + if$ are **complex** numbers

Conversely, if c_1, c_2, c_3 are arbitrary complex numbers, you can write $c_1 + c_2x + c_3x^2$ in the form $a + bx + cx^2 + i(d + ex + fx^2)$, where $a = \operatorname{Re}(c_1), b = \operatorname{Re}(c_2), c = \operatorname{Re}(c_3), d = \operatorname{Im}(c_1), e = \operatorname{Im}(c_2), f = \operatorname{Im}(c_3)$.

It follows that $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ is in fact equal to the set of all polynomials in \boxed{x} of degree 2 or less with coefficients in \mathbb{C}^2

Finally:

²Note carefully that this is not equal to $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$, which is the set of all polynomials in \boxed{z} of degree 2 or less with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . Here z is a *complex* variable whereas x is a *real* variable

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathbb{C}}(p + iq) &= S_{\mathbb{C}}(a + bx + cx^2 + i(d + ex + fx^2)) \\
&= S(a + bx + cx^2) + iS(d + ex + fx^2) \\
&= b + 2cx + i(e + 2fx) \\
&= (b + ie) + 2(c + if)x \\
&= p' + iq' \\
&= (p + iq)'
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $S_{\mathbb{C}}(p)$ is still equal to p' , except that now we're considering polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} instead of \mathbb{R} . \square

Problem 4:

Briefly explain how to prove the real spectral theorem from the complex spectral theorem

Step 1: Complexify U and S to get $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ (which is a vector space over \mathbb{C}) and $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ (as in problem 3)

One can show that $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is self-adjoint (and hence all its eigenvalues are real).

Step 2: Apply the **complex** spectral theorem (which applies here) to $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ to obtain an orthonormal basis $(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (u_1 + iv_1, \dots, u_n + iv_n)$ of $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of eigenvectors of $S_{\mathbb{C}}$

Step 3: One can show that in fact $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$ are eigenvectors of S , and in fact:

$$\text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) = U$$

In particular, this implies that the span of all the eigenvectors of S is U (\star)

Now for each eigenvalue λ , find a basis for $\text{Nul}(S - \lambda I)$ and apply Gram-Schmidt to get an *orthonormal basis* for $\text{Nul}(S - \lambda I)$. Put all your basis vectors together to get a set \mathcal{B}

- 1) \mathcal{B} is linearly independent because eigenvectors of S corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent, and by construction

2) \mathcal{B} spans U by (\star)

3) \mathcal{B} is orthonormal because S is normal, and hence eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of S are orthonormal³

Hence \mathcal{B} is an orthonormal basis of U consisting of eigenvectors of S □

2 Daniel Sparks

1. Prove some of the properties of adjoints listed on p.119; S, T are operators on a finite dimensional complex vector space V .

(a) $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$

(b) $(aT)^* = \bar{a}T^*$

(c) $(T^*)^* = T$

Axler suggests thinking about $T \mapsto T^*$ as a function $*$: $\mathcal{L}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V)$.

(f) Look up the definition of a \mathbf{C}^* -algebra.

(g) Show that $*$ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{R} vector spaces.

Solution: (a) $\langle (S + T)v, w \rangle = \langle Sv, w \rangle + \langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, S^*w \rangle + \langle v, T^*w \rangle = \langle v, (S^* + T^*)w \rangle$ for all $v, w \in V$. Hence $(S + T)^*(w) = (S^* + T^*)(w)$ for all $w \in V$.

(b) $\langle (aT)v, w \rangle = \langle a(Tv), w \rangle = a\langle Tv, w \rangle = a\langle v, T^*w \rangle = \langle v, \bar{a}T^*w \rangle = \langle v, (\bar{a}T^*)w \rangle$ for all v, w . Hence $(aT)^*(w) = (\bar{a}T^*)(w)$ for all w .

(c) $\langle T^*v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, T^*v \rangle} = \overline{\langle Tw, v \rangle} = \langle v, Tw \rangle$ for all v, w . Hence $(T^*)^*(w) = T(w)$ for all w .

(f) A \mathbf{C}^* algebra is something a lot like $\mathcal{L}(V)$ with its involution $T \mapsto T^*$.

(g) Define $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $\Phi(T) = T^*$. By (a), this map is additive. By (b), it is \mathbf{R} -linear: $\Phi(aT) = \bar{a}T^* = aT^* = a\Phi(T)$. By (c), $\Phi^2 = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{L}(V)}$ so that Φ is its own inverse and, in particular, is invertible.

³Proof: $\langle S(v_i), v_j \rangle = \langle \lambda_i v_i, v_j \rangle = \lambda_i \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$, but also $\langle S(v_i), v_j \rangle = \langle v_i, S^*(v_j) \rangle = \langle v_i, \bar{\lambda}_j v_j \rangle = \bar{\lambda}_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \lambda_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$. Hence $\lambda_i \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \lambda_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$, hence $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$, and so $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ because $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$. See also the warning on page 1

2. Prove in detail DWD Lemma 7.1. Namely, if T is normal on a finite dimensional complex vector space V , then $\text{Null}(T) = \text{Null}(T^*)$.

Solution: Let V be finite dimensional over \mathbf{C} and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be normal. Suppose that $T(v) = 0$ for some nonzero v . Then $\langle T^*v, T^*v \rangle = \langle v, TT^*v \rangle = \langle v, T^*Tv \rangle = \langle v, T^*(0) \rangle = 0$, that is to say $\|T^*v\| = 0$, meaning $T^*v = 0$. This shows that $\text{Null } T \subseteq \text{Null } T^*$. Since this is valid for any normal T on V , in particular we can apply it to the adjoint operator T^* ; we see then that $\text{Null } T^* \subseteq \text{Null}(T^*)^* = \text{Null } T$ by 1.(c).

3. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be a 2×2 complex matrix. This determines a linear map $L_A : \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ by $v \mapsto Av$. Using only the identity

$$\langle L_A v, w \rangle = \langle v, L_A^* w \rangle$$

show that $L_A^* = L_{\bar{A}^t}$, where $\bar{A}^t = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$. “The adjoint of a matrix operator is the conjugate transpose.” (For the ambitious, try an $n \times n$.)

Solution: Let L_A correspond to the $n \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) . Write (b_{ij}) for the matrix of the operator L_A^* in the standard basis. Then $(L_A^*)(e_j) = \sum_k b_{kj} e_k$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_A^*(e_j), e_i \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_k b_{kj} e_k, e_i \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_k b_{kj} \langle e_k, e_i \rangle \\ &= b_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_A^*(e_j), e_i \rangle &= \langle e_j, L_A(e_i) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle e_j, \sum_k a_{ki} e_k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_k \bar{a}_{ki} \langle e_j, e_k \rangle \\ &= \bar{a}_{ji} \end{aligned}$$

so $b_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ji}$ as desired.

4. Fill in the blanks. Let T be a normal operator on a finite dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector space V . Consider the list of distinct eigenvalues of T : $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$. (This list is

nonempty because (a) every operator on a finite dimensional complex vector space has an eigenvalue.)

Let U_{λ_i} be the (b) generalized eigenspace corresponding to λ_i . By (c) the Jordan theorem, we have a decomposition $V = U_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{\lambda_m}$.

Let $e_i = \dim U_{\lambda_i}$ be the (d) multiplicity of λ_i . Then we have bases $\beta'_i = \{u'_{i,1}, \dots, u'_{i,e_i}\}$ for each U_{λ_i} . We may use the (e) Gram-Schmidt process to obtain orthonormal bases $\beta_i = \{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,e_i}\}$ of each U_{λ_i} . We know that the concatenated list $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \{u_{1,1}, u_{1,2}, \dots, u_{1,e_1}, u_{2,1}, \dots, u_{m,e_m}\}$ is a basis for V because (f) of a homework exercise on bases and direct sums. Notice that each of these basis vectors are normal (i.e. of norm 1) generalized eigenvectors, and that $u_{i,j} \perp u_{k,l}$ whenever $i \neq k$.

Now, since T is normal, because (g*) DWD Prop 7.2 we know that β is actually a basis of eigenvectors. Finally, because (h*) DWD Prop 7.4 we know that $u_{i,j} \perp u_{k,l}$ whenever $i \neq k$. Therefore β is an orthonormal eigenbasis.

* These results do not have names, but can be found in DWD.

5. Review/redo carefully the proofs of the results cited in (g) and (h) of the previous exercise.

Solution: The proofs themselves are in DWD, the exercise is to do them yourself. Me writing them again here wont help with that.